"Śāntideva: Utilitarian or eudaimonist?"

Amod Lele presentation notes, 4 Nov 2022, Princeton University

Quotes from Charles Goodman's work regarding utilitarianism

"Some prominent writers in the utilitarian tradition, such as Unger and Singer, hold that we are morally required to contribute almost all of our income, wealth, and time to the relief of the suffering of the global poor. Śāntideva seems to teach a similar view, repeatedly citing sūtras that advise practitioners to give away all their possessions to the poor or to the religious community, to cultivate a state of few desires, to live by begging, and to travel to the wilderness to practice for the benefit of others." (ŚS translation, xli)

Goodman, Charles. 2016. "The philosophy of the *Training Anthology*." Introduction to *The* Training Anthology of Śāntideva: A *Translation of the* Śikṣā-samuccaya (ed. and trans. Charles Goodman). Oxford: Oxford University Press. xxxv-lviiii.

"Buddhists would not say that the Bodhisattva has a moral obligation to give up his life; they would not say this because, so far as I can tell, they do not have any concept that closely corresponds to the Western philosophical concept of moral obligation. Pali texts often talk about what we *should* do, expressing their recommendations in the form of gerundives or optatives. But they seem not to have a way of talking about what we are *obligated* or *morally required* to do." (*Consequences* 52, emphasis in original)

Goodman, Charles. 2009. Consequences of Compassion: An Interpretation and Defense of Buddhist Ethics. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

]

Giving, external goods, and the mind in Bodhicaryāvatāra V

For "all fears and immeasurable sufferings are from the mind alone" is spoken by the knower of the truth. (BCA V.6)

yasmād bhayāni sarvāni duhkhāny apramitāni ca | cittād eva bhavantīti kathitam tattvavādinā | | 6

If the virtue of giving were about making the world non-poor, how is the world of the previous Protectors still poor? The virtue of giving is said to come from a mind that completely renounces oneself, together with one's toward the whole population. \mathbf{But} therefore it is the mind alone. (BCA $tasm\bar{a}t s\bar{a} cittameva tu \mid 100$ V.9-10)

adaridram jagatkrtvā dānapāramitā yadi | jagaddaridramadyāpi sā katham pūrvatāyinām 🗆 9 phalena saha sarvasvatyāgacittājjane 'khile | dānapāramitā proktā

Where will there be leather to cover the whole world? The earth is covered by shoe leather alone. Likewise, I cannot control external phenomena; I will control my own mind. What's it to me whether other things are controlled? (BCA V.13-14)

bhūmim chādayitum sarvām kutaścarma bhavisyati | *upānaccarmamātrena* channā bhavati medinī | | 13

bāhyā bhāvā mayā tadvac chakyā vārayitum na hi svacittam vārayisyāmi kim mamānyair nivāritaih | | 14

Giving in the Śikṣā Samuccaya

What is given must no longer be guarded; what is at home must be guarded. What is given is cause for the reduction of craving; what is at home is the increase of craving. What is given is nonattachment; what is at home is with attachment. What is given is safe; what is at home is dangerous. What is given is cause for supporting ${
m the}$ path awakening; what is at home is cause for supporting Māra. What is given is imperishable; what is at home perishable. From what is given comes happiness; having obtained what is at home, suffering. What is given is cause for the abandoning of the afflictions; what is at home is the increase of the afflictions. What is given is cause for great enjoyment. What is at home is not cause for great enjoyment. What is given is the action of a good person. What is at home is the action of a bad person. What is given is cause for grasping the mind of a good person. What is at home is cause for grasping the mind of a bad person. What is given is praised by all the Buddhas. What is at home is praised by foolish people. (ŚS 19)

yad dattam tan na bhūyo raksitavyam | yad grhe tad raksitavyam | yad dattam trsnāksayāya yad grhe tadtrsnavarddhanamyad dattam tadgrheaparigraham yad tatyad saparigraham dattamtad $abhayam \mid yad grhe tat sabhayam \mid yad$ dattam tad bodhimārgôpastambhāya | yad grhe tan mārôpastambhāya I yad dattam tad aksayam | yad grhe tat ksayi I yad dattam tatah sukham yad grhe tadārabhya duskham | yad dattam tat kleśôtsargāya vadgrhe tatkleśavarddhanam | yad dattam mahābhogatāyai | yad grhe na tanmahābhogatāyai yad dattam tatsatpurusakarmayad grhe tatkāpurusakarma vaddattamtatsatpurusacittagrahanāya | yad grhe tat kāpuruṣacittagrahaṇāya ∣ yad dattam tat sarvabuddhapraśastam | yad grhe tad bālajanapraśastam

Having baited the iron hook of desire with your own body and with the pleasures you no longer want, you pull others out and save them as well. (ŚS 34)

tena câtmabhāvâdinā vaḍiṣâmiṣenêva svayam anabhigatôpabhogenâpy ākṛṣya parān api tārayati | |

They intentionally become prostitutes in order to attract men, and, enticing them with the hook of sexual attraction, they establish them in the pristine awareness of the Buddhas. (ŚS 326)

saṃcintya gaṇikāṃ bhonti puṃsām ākarṣaṇāya te | rāgâṅku saṃlobhya buddhajñāne sthāpayanti te | |

"I will give alcoholic drink even to alcohol drinkers; I will cause them to obtain mindfulness and introspection...." The meaning is: When a bodhisattva has caused the frustration of hope, the [resulting] anger is more serious even than alcoholic drink. Therefore there is a loss of the attraction of beings; alcohol may be given in the absence of other means of peacefully pleasing them. (ŚS 271)

madyapebhyâiva madyapānam dāsyāmi lītāms tān smṛtisamprajanye samādāpayiṣyāmîti ... madyapānād api nairāśyakṛte bodhisatve pratigho garīyān līsatvasamgrahahāniś câto 'nyaprasādanôpāyâsambhave madyam deyam ity abhiprāyah l

Or [the bodhisattvas] give jeweled $tath\hat{a}tr\hat{a}iva$ chariots covered by nets of various gems, $dad\bar{a}nah$ equipped with well-bred elephants and $\bar{a}j\bar{a}neyahasty$ other draught animals... $v\bar{a}$ paritya

Or they give away kingdoms, or cities, or royal palaces decorated with all ornaments... (ŚS 26-7)

tathâtrâiva deśitam maṇirathān vā dadānaḥ sarvaratnajālasaṃchannān ājāneyahastyupetān... upādadāno rājyaṃ vā parityajan paṭabhedakaṃ vā nagararājadhānīṃ sarvâlamkārabhūsitām...

Texts fruitless and to be avoided include materialist texts, texts about law and politics... (ŚS 192)

apârthakāni parivarjayitavyāni | tad yathā | lokâyataśāstrāṇi danḍanītiśāstrāṇi....

Eudaimonism: quotes from Charles Goodman's work

"[Aristotle advocates] a view called eudaimonism. According to this view, there is an extremely close connection between the morally praiseworthy status of an agent's actions and character traits and that agent's eudaimonia [well-being]..." (Consequences 38)

"To advocate eudaemonism is to assert a very tight connection between virtuous action, on the one hand, and the well-being of the agent, on the other." ("Modern" 136)

"Whatever suffering is in store for the world, may it all ripen in me. May the world find happiness through the pure deeds of the Bodhisattvas.' (10.56) I do not think the prospects of a eudaimonist interpretation of this verse are very good." (Consequences 92)

"Aristotle defends the view called eudaimonism, which postulates a very close connection between virtuous actions and the agent's own well-being. Universalist consequentialists hold a very different view. According to consequentialists, one of my actions can be right even though it is harmful to my flourishing, so long as its consequences are sufficiently beneficial to others, including others who are in no important way related to me." (*Consequences* 42)

Goodman, Charles. 2009. Consequences of Compassion: An Interpretation and Defense of Buddhist Ethics. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Goodman, Charles. 2017. "Modern and traditional understandings of karma." In Davis, Jake H. (ed.) *A Mirror is for Reflection: Understanding Buddhist Ethics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 131-45.

Śāntideva's eudaimonism&altruism

Whatever suffering is in store for the world, may it all ripen in me. May the world find happiness through the pure deeds of the Bodhisattvas. (BCA X.56)

yatkiṃcijjagato duḥkhaṃ tat sarvaṃ mayi pacyatām | bodhisattvaśubhaiḥ sarvair jagat sukhitamastu ca ||

Said in the story of the Brahmin priest Jyotis, who had practiced celibacy for forty-two thousand years: He was seven steps away when compassion arose in him. He thought, "If I break my spiritual discipline, I may go to hell. But I can experience and patiently endure the pain of hell. May this woman not die, but be happy." Noble sir, the Brahmin youth turned around, and holding that woman with his right hand, he said, "Rise sister, I shall do whatever you want."... Noble sire, because I aroused a thought of great compassion, even though lowly and having to do with sensual desires, {my time in cyclic existence) was reduced by ten thousand aeons. Noble sir, take note: what would lead other sentient beings to hell can lead a bodhisattva who is skilled in means to rebirth in the world of Brahmā. (ŚS 167)

jyotirmāņavakam dvācatvārimšadvarsa $sahasrabrahmac\bar{a}rinam$ adhikrtya sthitasya saptamepade kārunyam utpadyeta | kim câpy aham idam vratam khandayitvā nirayaparāyanah syām | tathâpy utsahe 'ham nairayikam duskham prativedayitum atha cêyam strī sukhitā bhavatu | mā kālam karotu | iti hikulaputra jyotirmāṇavakaḥ paścānmukho nivartya tām striyam daksinena pāṇinā grhītvâivam āha uttistha bhagini yathākāmakaranīyas te bhavāmîti || pe || so 'ham kulaputra mahākārunyacittôtpādenêtvarena kāmôpasamhitena daśakalpasahasrāni paścānmukham $ak\bar{a}r$ sam paśya kulaputra yad anyesām nirayasamvartanīyam karma tadbodhisatvasya upāyakuśalasya brahmalokôpapattisamvartanīyam iti | |

"All who are suffering in the world are so because of desire for their own happiness. All who are happy in the world are so because of desire for others' happiness." (BCA VIII.129)

ye kecid duḥkhitā loke sarve te svasukhecchayā | ye kecit sukhitā loke sarve te 'nyasukhecchayā | |

Additional references: Amod Lele

Lele, Amod. 2007. "Ethical Revaluation in the Thought of Śāntideva." Dissertation, Harvard University. https://loveofallwisdom.com/wp-content/uploads/2009/05/lele-dissertation.pdf

——. 2013. "The Compassionate Gift of Vice: Śāntideva on Gifts, Altruism, and Poverty." *Journal of Buddhist Ethics* 20: 702–34.

——. 2019. "Disengaged Buddhism." Journal of Buddhist Ethics 26: 239–89.

Related blog posts:

Of demands and obligations https://loveofallwisdom.com/blog/2015/08/of-demands-and-obligations/

Śāntideva vs. Singer https://loveofallwisdom.com/blog/2017/11/santideva-vs-singer/
Naturalizing Śāntideva's eudaimonism https://loveofallwisdom.com/blog/2017/12/
https://loveofallwisdom.com/blog/2017/11/
https://loveofallwisdom.com/blog/2017/11/
https://loveofallwisdom.com/blog/2017/11/
https://loveofallwisdom.com/blog/2017/
ht

Ethics of disposition, not decision https://loveofallwisdom.com/blog/2018/02/ethics-of-disposition-not-decision/

The Buddhist oxygen mask https://loveofallwisdom.com/blog/2021/08/the-buddhist-oxygen-mask/